## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

MIDFIRST BANK,	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
<b>v.</b>	§ Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-00721
	§
<b>BUNDRA MCGAFFIE,</b>	§
	<b>§</b>
Defendant.	§

## **PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff MidFirst Bank ("MidFirst" or "Plaintiff") complaining of Bundra McGaffie, files this *Original Complaint* and states as follows:

### I. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is a "mortgagee" as is defined in Texas Property Code section 51.001(4) and is appearing through the undersigned counsel.
- 2. Vaness D. McGaffie-Fox ("Decedent") was a borrower under the loan agreement described below. Decedent passed away on or about August 31, 2020. Upon information and belief, no probate is open for Decedent's estate in Harris County, Texas, the county where the subject Property is located. Accordingly, there is no executor or administrator to be made a party in this proceeding as the personal representative of the Decedent's estate.
- 3. Pursuant to Texas Estates Code §§ 101.001, 101.051, and 201.001, the heirs at law of Decedent ("Heir" or, collectively, "Heirs"), whether known or unknown, acquired all of Decedent's respective estates, including an undivided interest in the Property, immediately upon their respective deaths. Each Heir is made a party in this proceeding.
- 4. Defendant Bundra McGaffie is an alleged heir and son of Decedent. Defendant Bundra McGaffie is a citizen of the state of Texas, and may be served with process at 273 Owens

Street, Houston, Texas 77029 or at any other place where he may be found. Summons is requested.

#### II. PROPERTY

5. This proceeding concerns the real property and improvements commonly known as 273 Owens Street, Houston, Texas 77029-4536, and more particularly described as follows:

LOT NINETEEN (19), IN BLOCK TWENTY (20), CLINTON PARK, A SUBDIVISION IN HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS, ACCORDING TO THE MAP OR PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN VOLUME 18, PAGE 28, MAP RECORDS, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS. (The "Property").

### III. DIVERSITY JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over the controversy because there is complete diversity between Plaintiff and Defendant, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 7. MidFirst is a federally chartered savings association with its main office in Oklahoma. In *Wachovia Bank, N.A. v. Schmidt*, 546 U.S. 303 (2006), the Supreme Court held that a national bank is a citizen of the state where its main office, as designated in its articles of association, is located. *Wachovia Bank, N.A.* 546 U.S. at 307; see 28 U.S.C. § 1348 (2006). Therefore, Plaintiff is a citizen of Oklahoma for purposes of diversity jurisdiction
  - 8. The named Defendant is an individual and citizen of the state of Texas.
- 9. In this suit, Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment to foreclose on real property. Because the property is valued at more than \$75,000.00, the minimum amount-in-controversy requirement has been met. When the object of the litigation is a mortgage lien that entitles its owner to the full use and enjoyment of the property, the lien may be measured by the appraised value of the property, the purchase price, or the outstanding principal and interest. *Cf. Farkas v. GMAC Mortg., LLC*, 737 F.3d 338, 341 (5th Cir. 2013).

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

the value of the object of the litigation, and the value of that right is measured by the losses that will follow. *Webb v. Investacorp, Inc.*, 89 F.3d 252, 256 (5th Cir.1996). Stated differently, the

When a party seeks declaratory relief, the amount in controversy is measured by

amount in controversy is "the value of the right to be protected or the extent of the injury to be

prevented." Hartford Ins. Grp. v. Lou-Con, Inc., 293 F.3d 908, 910 (5th Cir. 2002) (quoting

Leininger v. Leininger, 705 F.2d 727, 729 (5th Cir. 1983)); see also Farkas v. GMAC Mortg.,

LLC, 737 F.3d 338, 341 (5th Cir. 2013).

10.

11. Here, the value of the right to be protected is enforcement of mortgage contract

through foreclosure. If Plaintiff were to foreclose on the Property, it would be entitled to either

the full use and possession of it, or the proceeds of a foreclosure sale. But if Plaintiff is unable to

foreclose, it may be entirely divested of any interest in the Property. Thus, rights to the entirety

of the property are in question, and the value of the property controls. And the value of the

Property exceeds \$75,000.00. The Harris County Appraisal District values the Property at

\$125,339.00 in excess of the jurisdictional minimum. Therefore, Plaintiff meets the amount-in-

controversy requirement.

12. Venue is proper in the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, because this

suit concerns title to real property located in Harris County, Texas. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 124(a)(1),

1391(b)(2).

IV. FACTS

13. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference for all purposes.

14. On or about August 11, 2008, Decedent Vanessa D. McGaffie-Fox ("Decedent"

or "Borrower") executed a Note in the principal amount of \$82,215.00 ("Note"), originally

payable to Countrywide Bank, FSB ("Countrywide") as lender on a loan secured by the Property.

A true and correct copy of the Note is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

Page 3

15. Concurrently with the execution of the Note, Borrower executed a Deed of Trust

dated August 11, 2008, ("Security Instrument" and together with the Note, "Loan Agreement"),

as grantor, granting Countrywide, its successors and assigns, a security interest in the Property.

The Security Instrument was recorded in the official public records of Harris County, Texas,

under Instrument No. 20080432010 on August 18, 2008. A true and correct copy of the Security

Instrument is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

16. The Security Instrument named Mortgage Electronic Registration Services, Inc

("MERS") as the beneficiary, solely as nominee for Countrywide and its successors and assigns.

Subsequently, MERS, as nominee for Countrywide, transferred and assigned the Loan

Agreement to MidFirst. The Assignment of Deed of Trust was recorded in the official public

records of Harris County, Texas, under Instrument No. RP-2021-361506. A true and correct

copy of the Assignment is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**.

17. Plaintiff is the current legal owner and holder of the specially endorsed Note.

Plaintiff is also the mortgagee of the Security Instrument as that term is defined in section

51.0001 (4) of the Texas Property Code.

18. On or about August 31, 2020, Decedent passed away. Upon information and

belief, no probate was ever opened for Decedent. In accordance with Texas Estates Code

§§ 101.001(b) and 101.051, her heirs acquired all of her interest in the Property immediately

upon her death, subject to the Loan Agreement debt owed to Plaintiff.

19. Under the terms of the Loan Agreement the Borrower was required to pay when

due the principal and interest on the debt evidenced by the Note, as well as any applicable

charges and fees due under the Note.

20. The Loan Agreement further provides that should Borrower fail to make

payments on the Note as they became due and payable, or fail to comply with any or all of the

covenants and conditions of the Security Instrument, that the lender may enforce the Security

Instrument by selling the Property according to law and in accordance with the provisions set out

in the Loan Agreement.

21. The Loan Agreement is currently due for the August 1, 2020 payment and all

subsequent monthly payments. On March 12, 2021 a Notice of Default was sent via certified

mail to the Borrower's last known address in accordance with the Loan Agreement and the

Texas Property Code. The Notice of Default advised that in order to cure the default, a payment

for the entire total amount past due, any amount in the interim must be received on or June 14,

2021, and that failure to cure the default on or before the date specified would result in

acceleration of the sums secured by the Security Instrument and sale of the Property. A true and

correct copy of the *Notice of Default is* attached hereto as **Exhibit D**.

22. The default was not cured, and the maturity of the debt was accelerated on

January 5, 2022, when a Notice of Acceleration of Loan Maturity was sent via certified mail to to

the last known address in accordance with the Loan Agreement and the Texas Property Code. A

true and correct copy of the Notice of Acceleration of Loan Maturity is attached hereto as

Exhibit E.

23. Plaintiff brings this suit for declaratory judgment and foreclosure so it may

enforce its security interest in the Property.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

A. DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

24. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference for all purposes.

25. Plaintiff requests a declaration from this Court that it is the owner and holder of

the Note and beneficiary of the Security Instrument. Plaintiff requests a further declaration from

this Court that, as owner and holder of the Note and beneficiary of the Security Instrument,

Plaintiff is a mortgagee as that term is defined under Texas Property Code section 51.0001(4), and is authorized to enforce the power of sale in the Security Instrument through foreclosure of the Property.

#### B. ENFORCEMENT OF STATUTORY PROBATE LIEN

- 26. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference for all purposes.
- 27. Plaintiff seeks a declaration from this Court that Plaintiff has a statutory probate lien against the Property under the terms of the Loan Agreement and the following statutory authority:
  - a. TEX. ESTATES CODE §§ 101.001(b) and 101.051(b)(1), which state in pertinent part:
    - "the estate of a person who dies intestate vests immediately in the person's heirs at law, subject to the payment of, and is still liable for: the debts of the decedent, except as exempted by law"
  - b. TEXAS TITLE EXAMINATION STANDARDS § 11.10, which states in pertinent part:
    - "A decedent's Property passes to his or her heirs at law or devisees immediately upon death, subject in each instance, except for exempt Property, to payment of debts, including estate and inheritance taxes;" and
  - c. Texas Title Examination Standards § 11.60, which states in pertinent part:
    - "A decedent's Property passes to his or her heirs at law or devisees immediately upon death, subject in each instance, except for exempt Property, to payment of debts, including estate and inheritance taxes . . . Property of a decedent passes subject to unpaid debts and taxes of the estate."
- 28. Through Plaintiff's statutory probate lien, reserved in Texas Estates Code §§ 101.001 and 101.151, Plaintiff has an enforceable and superior lien against the Heir's interest

in the Property. Because of a material breach of the Loan Agreement, Plaintiff seeks to enforce

its statutory probate lien in the Property through foreclosure.

C. NON-JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE

29. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference for all purposes.

30. Because of a material breach of the Loan Agreement, Plaintiff seeks an order

from this Court to enforce its statutory probate lien through non-judicial foreclosure pursuant to

the terms of the Loan Agreement and Texas Property Code § 51.002, with respect to Defendant

who acquired the Property subject to Decedent's debts.

**D. PUBLIC AUCTION** 

31. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference for all purposes.

32. Because of the material breach of the Loan Agreement, a public auction of the

Property in conjunction with all other regularly scheduled non-judicial foreclosure sales on the

first Tuesday of the month would provide the most practical, efficient, and effective means to

enforce Plaintiff's security interest in the Property. Because the rights, responsibilities and duties

of Plaintiff and the trustee are well known under Texas Property Code § 51.002 and Texas case

law, a public auction conducted in the same manner as a non-judicial foreclosure sale would

meet all constitutional standards of due process. Because no personal liability is sought against

the Defendant, a public auction of the Property would be the most expedient means to put the

Property back into the stream of commerce and the housing stock of the community. Otherwise,

the Property will continue to be a wasting asset that is subject to vandalism and deterioration.

E. JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE

33. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference for all purposes.

34. In the alternative, for failure to cure the default of the Loan Agreement, Plaintiff

seeks to enforce its security interest against the Property in an amount equal to the payoff at the

time of judgment.

35. As the current legal owner and holder of the Note and the mortgagee of record

who has the right to enforce the Note and Security Instrument, Plaintiff seeks a judgment for

judicial foreclosure together with an order of sale issued to the sheriff or constable of Hood

County—the county where the Property is located—directing the sheriff or constable to seize

and sell the Property in satisfaction of the Loan Agreement debt.

F. TRESPASS TO TRY TITLE

36. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference for all purposes.

37. Concurrent with Plaintiff acquiring all of Defendant's right, title, and interest in

the Property—by enforcement of Plaintiff's statutory probate lien by non-judicial foreclosure

under Security Instrument's power-of-sale provision and the Texas Property Code or,

alternatively, by judicial foreclosure.—Plaintiff seeks a declaration and judgment that the

Defendant is divested of all of their right, title and interest in the Property and that all of

Defendant's right, title, and interest in the Property are vested in Plaintiff.

**G. WRIT OF POSSESSION** 

38. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference for all purposes.

39. If any person occupies or claims possession of the Property (an "Occupant") after

transfer of all right, title, and interest in the Property in favor of Plaintiff, then Plaintiff requests a

writ of possession against any Occupant.

H. ATTORNEYS FEES

40. Because of the material breach of the Loan Agreement, Plaintiff is entitled to

recover reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees under the loan documents, and Texas Civil

Practice and Remedies Code §§ 37.009 and 38.001. Attorneys' fees are not sought as a personal judgment against the Defendant but only as an additional debt secured by the Security Instrument.

#### VI. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff requests that Defendant be cited to appear and answer, and the Court enter judgment granting:

- a. A declaration that Plaintiff is the owner and holder of the Note and beneficiary of the Security Instrument and that Plaintiff is a mortgagee as that term is defined under Texas Property Code section 51.0001(4), and is authorized to enforce the power of sale in the Security Instrument through foreclosure of the Property;
- b. A declaration that due to a breach of the Loan Agreement, Plaintiff's statutory probate lien against the Property shall be enforced by a non-judicial foreclosure at public auction—or alternatively, a judgment for judicial foreclosure—and that through the foreclosure or auction the Defendant is divested, and the purchaser at foreclosure sale is vested, of all of Decedent's right, title, and interest to the Property;
- c. A writ of possession against any Occupant of the Property if the Occupant fails or refuses to leave the Property after foreclosure or auction;
- d. Attorney fees and costs of suit, not as a personal judgment against the Defendant, by only as an additional debt secured by the Security Instrument; *and*
- e. All other relief, in law and in equity, to which Plaintiff is entitled.

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT MWZM: 21-000193-365

## Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Mark D. Cronenwett

## MARK D. CRONENWETT

Attorney in Charge Texas Bar No. 00787303 Southern District Admission #21340 mcronenwett@mwzmlaw.com

### **NICHOLAS M. FRAME**

Of Counsel State Bar No. 24093448 Southern District Admission #312161 nframe@mwzmlaw.com

# MACKIE WOLF ZIENTZ & MANN, P.C.

14160 North Dallas Parkway, Suite 900

Dallas, Texas 75254 Telephone: 214-635-2650 Facsimile: 214-635-2686

### ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF